

PCT/PT/1 22 JUN 1997

TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES
DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)
CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5)

08/860182

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.

PCT/DE 96/02120

INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE

Nov 7, 1996

PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED

Nov. 17, 1995

TITLE OF INVENTION

VEHICLE DOOR FOR CAR AND TRUCK

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US

Glok Djien Go

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
3. ☒ This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).
4. ☐ A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))
 - a. ☒ is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☐ has been transmitted by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
6. ☒ A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).
7. ☒ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))
 - a. ☒ are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☐ have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
 - d. ☐ have not been made and will not be made.
8. ☒ A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
9. ☒ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).
10. ☐ A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).

Items 11. to 16. below concern document(s) or information included:

11. ☐ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
12. ☒ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
13. ☒ A FIRST preliminary amendment.
☒ A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.
14. ☐ A substitute specification.
15. ☐ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
16. ☒ Other items or information:

Two copies of translation of PCT/DE96/02120, the concisely German-related PA, PCT/DE96/02120, amendment of claims, two-pages letter to USPTO, letters to WIPO incl. notes, WIPO notification and declaration of inventor:

Two German PAs DE 4342038 A1 and DE 3726292 C1

Translation of the verdicts and replies of German NHSTA (Büro für Kfz Technik) to my both letters regarding door detachment, ejection of passengers and increase of vehicle stiffness

Enclosure "failure of the prior art" due to disengagement of clamping means documented by seven accident reports and reports of crash tests

Enclosure "publications /2/ and /3/" and brief explanation to the disengagement of clamping means

Enclosure "Opposition to the Verdict "Y" of the attached PCT Search Report" documented by large tolerances, three-dimensional load cases and intrusion of a door of VW Golf in a side collision

PCT/DE 96/02120

17. ☐ The following fees are submitted:**BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492 (a) (1) - (5)):**

Search Report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO \$910.00 ✓

International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) \$700.00

No international preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) but international search fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) \$770.00

Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO \$1040.00

International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(2)-(4) \$96.00 ✓

ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =**CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY**

\$ 1006

Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than ☐ 20 ☐ 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).

\$

CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE
Total claims	34 - 20 =	14	X \$22.00
Independent claims	34 - 3 =	31	X \$80.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable)			+ \$260.00

\$ 308

\$ 2480

\$ 260

TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =

\$ 4054

Reduction of 1/2 for filing by small entity, if applicable. Verified Small Entity Statement must also be filed (Note 37 CFR 1.9, 1.27, 1.28).

\$ 2027

SUBTOTAL =

\$ 2027

Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than ☐ 20 ☐ 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).

\$

TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =

\$ 2027

Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property +

\$

TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =

\$ 2027

Amount to be:
refunded

\$

charged

\$

- a. ☐ A check in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees is enclosed. *See my letter.*
- b. ☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. _____ in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- c. ☐ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. _____. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Dr.-Ing. Giok Djien Go
Pfahlgrabenstr. 45
D-65510 Idstein
Germany

SIGNATURE:

NAME

REGISTRATION NUMBER

Dr. -Ing. Giok Djien Go

Frankgrabenstraße 45
phone 0049 6126 8949
fax 0049 6126 52614 at Wolf
gdgpat/pat2/pct-us

REGISTERED

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box Assignments
Washington DC 20231
USA

Preparation for national entry
my ref. PAT2
PCT/DE 96/02120
International filing date 11/07/96
Priority date 11/17/95 of DE 195 43 706 A1
reply of Mrs Carol E. Bidwell of 16 Dec. 96 with the documents to my fax of 12/6/96 and letter of 9/18/96

Dear Sirs,

Three PCT PAs (Patent Applications) filed to WIPO via the receiving PCT of the DPA (German Patent Office) are destined for USA as one of the countries. Mrs Bidwell has approved my both requests to file my own translation and to act as my own attorney. Please consider my financial burden as a private inventor.

Thanks to the experiences gained by having a patent approval of another very comprehensive German PA with 37 claims filed as PCT/DE97/00715 I discovered in my own PCT/DE 96/02120 the need

- to concisely describe as well as translate in compliance with the US Standard by employing the German parameters to set up the border frame within the paper frame, thus downsizing the total German words from 8931 to 7652. Are the set-up parameters acceptable?;
- to correct the principle object, objects and the claims of the invention (see my notes to WIPO and WIPO Notification) so that the examiners can easily comprehend the objects and my invention to resolve the failure of the prior art in the event of real side collision reported by the newspapers and accident reports as attached. Despite my submittance of the amended pages 10 and 11 of the description due to my inexperience in formulating the principle object, the PCT of DPA has, presumably, not transmitted them to WIPO. I issued an attached, registered letter of complaint to the DPA president. I hope you accept this slight amendment;
- to poignantly describe the views and verdicts of Technical Vehicle Office (Büro for Kfz-Technik), the German NHSTA on the invention ref. to DE 4342038 to prevent the ejection of passengers. Later on, the DPA urged me to separate therefrom and to file this PA as an entire PA;
- to concisely explain all above-mentioned accident reports and the publications in enclosure "failure of the prior art" and "publications /2/ and /3/;
- to oppose to the Verdict "Y" of the Search Report in enclosure "Opposition...". You would agree with the theses that door detachment and intrusion are due to the failure of present inventions and a new feature must be invented to resolve this problem.

However, after thoroughly studying your documents I would ask you the following questions:

1. Do I understand that *after the success of licencing any one* of my ten inventions to a Corp. I should report to you in order to *reverse* the eligibility for small entity and *pay* the difference? Two car makers, one of them Opel/GM, have given me a written statement that they are investigating my ten PAs. I am willing to pay the full fees upon the success of licencing.